

Smarter Balanced Assessment Consortium: English Language Arts Rubrics

Developed by Measured Progress/ETS Collaborative April 16, 2012



| | Statement of Purpose/ | Focus and Organization | Development: Language a | nd Elaboration of Evidence | |
|-------|--|--|--|---|--|
| Score | Statement of Purpose/Focus | Organization | Elaboration of Evidence | Language and Vocabulary | Conventions |
| 4 | The response is fully sustained and consistently and purposefully focused: • claim is clearly stated, focused and strongly maintained • alternate or opposing claims are clearly addressed* • claim is introduced and communicated clearly within the context | The response has a clear and effective organizational structure creating unity and completeness: • effective, consistent use of a variety of transitional strategies • logical progression of ideas from beginning to end • effective introduction and conclusion for audience and purpose • strong connections among ideas, with some syntactic variety | The response provides thorough and convincing support/evidence for the writer's claim that includes the effective use of sources, facts, and details. The response achieves substantial depth that is specific and relevant: • use of evidence from sources is smoothly integrated, comprehensive, relevant, and concrete • effective use of a variety of elaborative techniques | The response clearly and effectively expresses ideas, using precise language: • use of academic and domain-specific vocabulary is clearly appropriate for the audience and purpose | The response demonstrates a strong command of conventions: • few, if any, errors are present in usage and sentence formation • effective and consistent use of punctuation, capitalization, and spelling |



| Sample | Generic 4-point Argumentati | ve Writing Rubric (Grades 6-1 | L1) | | |
|--------|---|--|--|---|--|
| | Statement of Purpose/ | Focus and Organization | Development: Language a | Development: Language and Elaboration of Evidence | |
| Score | Statement of Purpose/Focus | Organization | Elaboration of Evidence | Language and Vocabulary | Conventions |
| 3 | The response is adequately sustained and generally focused: • claim is clear and for the most part maintained, though some loosely related material may be present • context provided for the claim is adequate | The response has an evident organizational structure and a sense of completeness, though there may be minor flaws and some ideas may be loosely connected: • adequate use of transitional strategies with some variety • adequate progression of ideas from beginning to end • adequate introduction and conclusion • adequate, if slightly inconsistent, connection among ideas | The response provides adequate support/evidence for writer's claim that includes the use of sources, facts, and details. The response achieves some depth and specificity but is predominantly general: • some evidence from sources is integrated, though citations may be general or imprecise • adequate use of some elaborative techniques | The response adequately expresses ideas, employing a mix of precise with more general language use of domain-specific vocabulary is generally appropriate for the audience and purpose | The response demonstrates an adequate command of conventions: • some errors in usage and sentence formation may be present, but no systematic pattern of errors is displayed • adequate use of punctuation, capitalization, and spelling |



| Sample | Generic 4-point Argumentati | ve Writing Rubric (Grades 6-: | 11) | | |
|--------|--|---|---|---|--|
| | Statement of Purpose/ | Focus and Organization | Development: Language a | nd Elaboration of Evidence | Conventions |
| Score | Statement of Purpose/Focus | Organization | Elaboration of Evidence | Language and Vocabulary | |
| 2 | The response is somewhat sustained and may have a minor drift in focus: • may be clearly focused on the claim but is insufficiently sustained • claim on the issue may be somewhat unclear and unfocused | The response has an inconsistent organizational structure, and flaws are evident: • inconsistent use of basic transitional strategies with little variety • uneven progression of ideas from beginning to end • conclusion and introduction, if present, are weak • weak connection among ideas | The response provides uneven, cursory support/evidence for the writer's claim that includes partial or uneven use of sources, facts, and details, and achieves little depth: • evidence from sources is weakly integrated, and citations, if present, are uneven • weak or uneven use of elaborative techniques | The response expresses ideas unevenly, using simplistic language: • use of domain-specific vocabulary may at times be inappropriate for the audience and purpose | The response demonstrates a partial command of conventions: • frequent errors in usage may obscure meaning • inconsistent use of punctuation, capitalization, and spelling |



| | Statement of Purpose/Focus and Organization | | Development: Language a | nd Elaboration of Evidence | |
|-------|--|---|--|--|--|
| Score | Statement of Purpose/Focus | Organization | Elaboration of Evidence | Language and Vocabulary | Conventions |
| 1 | The response may be related to the purpose but may offer little relevant detail: may be very brief may have a major drift claim may be confusing or ambiguous | The response has little or no discernible organizational structure: • few or no transitional strategies are evident • frequent extraneous ideas may intrude | The response provides minimal support/evidence for the writer's claim that includes little or no use of sources, facts, and details: • use of evidence from sources is minimal, absent, in error, or irrelevant | The response expression of ideas is vague, lacks clarity, or is confusing: • uses limited language or domain-specific vocabulary • may have little sense of audience and purpose | The response demonstrates a lack of command of conventions: • errors are frequent and severe and meaning is often obscure |

^{*}Begins in 7th grade



| Sample | Generic 4-point Informative-I | Explanatory Writing Rubric (G | irades 3-5) | | |
|--------|--|---|--|---|--|
| | Statement of Purpose/ | Focus and Organization | Development: Language and Elaboration of Evidence | | |
| Score | Statement of Purpose/Focus | Organization | Elaboration of Evidence | Language and Vocabulary | Conventions |
| 4 | The response is fully sustained and consistently and purposefully focused: • controlling idea or main idea of a topic is focused, clearly stated, and strongly maintained • controlling idea or main idea of a topic is introduced and communicated clearly within the context | The response has a clear and effective organizational structure creating unity and completeness: use of a variety of transitional strategies logical progression of ideas from beginning to end effective introduction and conclusion for audience and purpose | The response provides thorough and convincing support/evidence for the controlling idea or main idea that includes the effective use of sources, facts, and details: • use of evidence from sources is smoothly integrated, comprehensive, and relevant • effective use of a variety of elaborative techniques | The response clearly and effectively expresses ideas, using precise language: • use of academic and domain-specific vocabulary is clearly appropriate for the audience and purpose | The response demonstrates a strong command of conventions: • few, if any, errors are present in usage and sentence formation • effective and consistent use of punctuation, capitalization, and spelling |



| | Statement of Purpose/ | Focus and Organization | Development: Language and Elaboration of Evidence | | |
|-------|--|--|--|---|--|
| Score | Statement of Purpose/Focus | Organization | Elaboration of Evidence | Language and Vocabulary | Conventions |
| 3 | The response is adequately sustained and generally focused: • focus is clear and for the most part maintained, though some loosely related material may be present • some context for the controlling idea or main idea of the topic is adequate | The response has an evident organizational structure and a sense of completeness, though there may be minor flaws and some ideas may be loosely connected: • adequate use of transitional strategies with some variety • adequate progression of ideas from beginning to end • adequate introduction and conclusion | The response provides adequate support/evidence for the controlling idea or main idea that includes the use of sources, facts, and details: • some evidence from sources is integrated, though citations may be general or imprecise • adequate use of some elaborative techniques | The response adequately expresses ideas, employing a mix of precise with more general language use of domain-specific vocabulary is generally appropriate for the audience and purpose | The response demonstrates an adequate command of conventions: • some errors in usage and sentence formation may be present, but no systematic pattern of errors is displayed • adequate use of punctuation, capitalization, and spelling |



| | Statement of Purpose/Focus and Organization | | Development: Language and Elaboration of Evidence | | |
|-------|---|--|---|--|--|
| Score | Statement of Purpose/Focus | Organization | Elaboration of Evidence | Language and Vocabulary | Conventions |
| 2 | The response is somewhat sustained and may have a minor drift in focus: • may be clearly focused on the controlling or main idea, but is insufficiently sustained • controlling idea or main idea may be unclear and somewhat unfocused | The response has an inconsistent organizational structure, and flaws are evident: • inconsistent use of transitional strategies with little variety • uneven progression of ideas from beginning to end • conclusion and introduction, if present, are weak | The response provides uneven, cursory support/evidence for the controlling idea or main idea that includes partial or uneven use of sources, facts, and details: • evidence from sources is weakly integrated, and citations, if present, are uneven • weak or uneven use of elaborative techniques | The response expresses ideas unevenly, using simplistic language: • use of domain-specific vocabulary that may at times be inappropriate for the audience and purpose | The response demonstrates a partial command of conventions: • frequent errors in usage may obscure meaning • inconsistent use of punctuation, capitalization, and spelling |



| | Statement of Purpose/ | Focus and Organization | Development: Language a | nd Elaboration of Evidence | |
|-------|--|---|---|--|--|
| Score | Statement of Purpose/Focus | Organization | Elaboration of Evidence | Language and Vocabulary | Conventions |
| 1 | The response may be related to the topic but may provide little or no focus: • may be very brief • may have a major drift • focus may be confusing or ambiguous | The response has little or no discernible organizational structure: • few or no transitional strategies are evident • frequent extraneous ideas may intrude | The response provides minimal support/evidence for the controlling idea or main idea that includes little or no use of sources, facts, and details: • use of evidence from the source material is minimal, absent, in error, or irrelevant | The response expression of ideas is vague, lacks clarity, or is confusing: • uses limited language or domain-specific vocabulary • may have little sense of audience and purpose | The response demonstrates a lack of command of conventions: • errors are frequent and severe and meaning is often obscure |



| | Statement of Purpose/ | Focus and Organization | Development: Language and Elaboration of Evidence | | |
|-------|--|--|---|---|--|
| Score | Statement of Purpose/Focus | Organization | Elaboration of Evidence | Language and Vocabulary | Conventions |
| 4 | The response is fully sustained and consistently and purposefully focused: controlling idea or main idea of a topic is focused, clearly stated, and strongly maintained controlling idea or main idea of a topic is introduced and communicated clearly within the context | The response has a clear and effective organizational structure creating unity and completeness: use of a variety of transitional strategies logical progression of ideas from beginning to end effective introduction and conclusion for audience and purpose strong connections among ideas, with some syntactic variety | The response provides thorough and convincing support/evidence for the controlling idea or main idea that includes the effective use of sources, facts, and details. The response achieves substantial depth that is specific and relevant: • use of evidence from sources is smoothly integrated, comprehensive, and concrete • effective use of a variety of elaborative techniques | The response clearly and effectively expresses ideas, using precise language: • use of academic and domain-specific vocabulary is clearly appropriate for the audience and purpose | The response demonstrates a strong command of conventions: • few, if any, errors are present in usage and sentence formation • effective and consistent use of punctuation, capitalization, and spelling |



| Sample | Generic 4-point Informative- | Explanatory Writing Rubric (G | rades 6-11) | | |
|--------|--|--|--|---|--|
| | Statement of Purpose/Focus and Organization | | Development: Language and Elaboration of Evidence | | |
| Score | Statement of Purpose/Focus | Organization | Elaboration of Evidence | Language and Vocabulary | Conventions |
| 3 | The response is adequately sustained and generally focused: • focus is clear and for the most part maintained, though some loosely related material may be present • some context for the controlling idea or main idea of the topic is adequate | The response has an evident organizational structure and a sense of completeness, though there may be minor flaws and some ideas may be loosely connected: • adequate use of transitional strategies with some variety • adequate progression of ideas from beginning to end • adequate introduction and conclusion • adequate, if slightly inconsistent, connection among ideas | The response provides adequate support/evidence for the controlling idea or main idea that includes the use of sources, facts, and details: • some evidence from sources is integrated, though citations may be general or imprecise • adequate use of some elaborative techniques | The response adequately expresses ideas, employing a mix of precise with more general language use of domain-specific vocabulary is generally appropriate for the audience and purpose | The response demonstrates an adequate command of conventions: • some errors in usage and sentence formation may be present, but no systematic pattern of errors is displayed • adequate use of punctuation, capitalization, and spelling |



| | Generic 4-point Informative-Explanatory Writing Rubric (G Statement of Purpose/Focus and Organization | | Development: Language and Elaboration of Evidence | | |
|-------|---|---|---|--|--|
| Score | Statement of Purpose/Focus | Organization | Elaboration of Evidence | Language and Vocabulary | Conventions |
| 2 | The response is somewhat sustained and may have a minor drift in focus: • may be clearly focused on the controlling or main idea, but is insufficiently sustained • controlling idea or main idea may be unclear and somewhat unfocused | The response has an inconsistent organizational structure, and flaws are evident: • inconsistent use of transitional strategies with little variety • uneven progression of ideas from beginning to end • conclusion and introduction, if present, are weak • weak connection among ideas | The response provides uneven, cursory support/evidence for the controlling idea or main idea that includes partial or uneven use of sources, facts, and details: • evidence from sources is weakly integrated, and citations, if present, are uneven • weak or uneven use of elaborative techniques | The response expresses ideas unevenly, using simplistic language: • use of domain-specific vocabulary that may at times be inappropriate for the audience and purpose | The response demonstrates a partial command of conventions: • frequent errors in usage may obscure meaning • inconsistent use of punctuation, capitalization, and spelling |



| | Statement of Purpose/ | Focus and Organization | Development: Language a | nd Elaboration of Evidence | |
|-------|--|---|---|--|--|
| Score | Statement of Purpose/Focus | Organization | Elaboration of Evidence | Language and Vocabulary | Conventions |
| 1 | The response may be related to the topic but may provide little or no focus: • may be very brief • may have a major drift • focus may be confusing or ambiguous | The response has little or no discernible organizational structure: • few or no transitional strategies are evident • frequent extraneous ideas may intrude | The response provides minimal support/evidence for the controlling idea or main idea that includes little or no use of sources, facts, and details: • use of evidence from the source material is minimal, absent, in error, or irrelevant | The response expression of ideas is vague, lacks clarity, or is confusing: • uses limited language or domain-specific vocabulary • may have little sense of audience and purpose | The response demonstrates a lack of command of conventions: • errors are frequent and severe and meaning is often obscure |



| Sample | Generic 4-point Narrative Wr | iting Rubric (Grades 3–8) | | | |
|--------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Score | Establishment of Narrative Focus and Organization | | Development: Elaboration and Language | | Conventions |
| | Narrative Focus | Organization | Elaboration of Narrative | Language and Vocabulary | |
| 4 | The narrative, real or imagined, is clearly focused and maintained throughout: • effectively establishes a setting, narrator and/or characters, and point of view* | The narrative, real or imagined, has an effective plot helping create unity and completeness: • effective, consistent use of a variety of transitional strategies • logical sequence of events from beginning to end • effective opening and closure for audience and purpose | The narrative, real or imagined, provides thorough and effective elaboration using details, dialogue, and description: • effective use of a variety of narrative techniques that advance the story or illustrate the experience | The narrative, real or imagined, clearly and effectively expresses experiences or events: • effective use of sensory, concrete, and figurative language clearly advance the purpose | The narrative, real or imagined, demonstrates a strong command of conventions: • few, if any, errors in usage and sentence formation • effective and consistent use of punctuation, capitalization, and spelling |



| Score | Establishment of Narrative Focus and Organization | | Development: Elaboration and Language | | Conventions |
|-------|---|---|---|--|--|
| 000.0 | Narrative Focus | Organization | Elaboration of Narrative | Language and Vocabulary | Convoluenc |
| 3 | The narrative, real or imagined, is adequately focused and generally maintained throughout: • adequately establishes a setting, narrator and/or characters, and point of view* | The narrative, real or imagined, has an evident plot helping create a sense of unity and completeness, though there may be minor flaws and some ideas may be loosely connected: • adequate use of a variety of transitional strategies • adequate sequence of events from beginning to end • adequate opening and closure for audience and purpose | The narrative, real or imagined, provides adequate elaboration using details, dialogue, and description: • adequate use of a variety of narrative techniques that generally advance the story or illustrate the experience | The narrative, real or imagined, adequately expresses experiences or events: • adequate use of sensory, concrete, and figurative language generally advance the purpose | The narrative, real or imagined, demonstrates an adequate command of conventions: • some errors in usage and sentence formation but no systematic pattern of errors is displayed • adequate use of punctuation, capitalization, and spelling |



| Sample | Sample Generic 4-point Narrative Writing Rubric (Grades 3–8) | | | | |
|--------|--|---|---|--|--|
| Score | Establishment of Narrative Focus and Organization | | Development: Elaboration and Language | | Conventions |
| | Narrative Focus | Organization | Elaboration of Narrative | Language and Vocabulary | |
| 2 | The narrative, real or imagined, is somewhat maintained and may have a minor drift in focus: • inconsistently establishes a setting, narrator and/or characters, and point of view* | The narrative, real or imagined, has an inconsistent plot, and flaws are evident: • inconsistent use of basic transitional strategies with little variety • uneven sequence of events from beginning to end • opening and closure, if present, are weak • weak connection among ideas | The narrative, real or imagined, provides uneven, cursory elaboration using partial and uneven details, dialogue, and description: • narrative techniques, if present, are uneven and inconsistent | The narrative, real or imagined, unevenly expresses experiences or events: • partial or weak use of sensory, concrete, and figurative language that may not advance the purpose | The narrative, real or imagined, demonstrates a partial command of conventions: • frequent errors in usage may obscure meaning • inconsistent use of punctuation, capitalization, and spelling |



| Score _ | | e Focus and Organization | Development. Elabor | ration and Language | Conventions |
|---------|--|---|---|---|---|
| | Narrative Focus | Organization | Elaboration of Narrative | Language and Vocabulary | Conventione |
| 1 | The narrative, real or imagined, may be maintained but may provide little or no focus: • may be very brief • may have a major drift • focus may be confusing or ambiguous | The narrative, real or imagined, has little or no discernable plot: • few or no transitional strategies are evident • frequent extraneous ideas may intrude | The narrative, real or imagined, provides minimal elaboration using little or no details, dialogue, and description: • use of narrative techniques is minimal, absent, in error, or irrelevant | The narrative, real or imagined, expression of ideas is vague, lacks clarity, or is confusing: uses limited language may have little sense of purpose | The narrative, real or imagined, demonstrates a lack of command of conventions: • errors are frequent and severe and meaning is often obscured |

^{*}Point of view begins in Grade 7.



| Sample | Generic 4-point Opinion Writ | ing Rubric (Grades 3–5) | | | |
|--------|---|---|---|---|--|
| | Statement of Purpose/Focus and Organization | | Development: Language and Elaboration of Evidence | | |
| Score | Statement of Purpose/Focus | Organization | Elaboration of Evidence | Language and Vocabulary | Conventions |
| 4 | The response is fully sustained and consistently and purposefully focused: • opinion is clearly stated, focused, and strongly maintained • opinion is communicated clearly within the context | The response has a clear and effective organizational structure creating unity and completeness: • effective, consistent use of a variety of transitional strategies • logical progression of ideas from beginning to end • effective introduction and conclusion for audience and purpose | The response provides thorough and convincing support/evidence for the writer's opinion that includes the effective use of sources, facts, and details: • use of evidence from sources is smoothly integrated, comprehensive, and relevant • effective use of a variety of elaborative techniques | The response clearly and effectively expresses ideas, using precise language: • use of academic and domain-specific vocabulary is clearly appropriate for the audience and purpose | The response demonstrates a strong command of conventions: • few, if any, errors in usage and sentence formation • effective and consistent use of punctuation, capitalization, and spelling |



| Sample | Generic 4-point Opinion Writ | ing Rubric (Grades 3–5) | | | |
|--------|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Statement of Purpose/Focus and Organization | | Development: Language and Elaboration of Evidence | | |
| Score | Statement of Purpose/Focus | Organization | Elaboration of Evidence | Language and Vocabulary | Conventions |
| 3 | The response is adequately sustained and generally focused: • opinion is clear and for the most part maintained, though some loosely related material may be present • context provided for the claim is adequate | The response has an recognizable organizational structure, though there may be minor flaws and some ideas may be loosely connected: • adequate use of transitional strategies with some variety • adequate progression of ideas from beginning to end • adequate introduction and conclusion | The response provides adequate support/evidence for the writer's opinion that includes the use of sources, facts, and details: • some evidence from sources is integrated, though citations may be general or imprecise • adequate use of some elaborative techniques | The response adequately expresses ideas, employing a mix of precise with more general language use of domain-specific vocabulary is generally appropriate for the audience and purpose | The response demonstrates an adequate command of conventions: • some errors in usage and sentence formation are present, but no systematic pattern of errors is displayed • adequate use of punctuation, capitalization, and spelling |



| Sample | Generic 4-point Opinion Writ | ting Rubric (Grades 3–5) | | | |
|--------|---|--|--|--|--|
| | Statement of Purpose/Focus and Organization | | Development: Language and Elaboration of Evidence | | |
| Score | Statement of Purpose/Focus | Organization | Elaboration of Evidence | Language and Vocabulary | Conventions |
| 2 | The response is somewhat sustained with some extraneous material or a minor drift in focus: • may be clearly focused on the opinion but is insufficiently sustained • opinion on the issue may be unclear and unfocused | The response has an inconsistent organizational structure, and flaws are evident: • inconsistent use of transitional strategies with little variety • uneven progression of ideas from beginning to end • conclusion and introduction, if present, are weak | The response provides uneven, cursory support/evidence for the writer's opinion that includes partial or uneven use of sources, facts, and details: • evidence from sources is weakly integrated, and citations, if present, are uneven • weak or uneven use of elaborative techniques | The response expresses ideas unevenly, using simplistic language: • use of domain-specific vocabulary that may at times be inappropriate for the audience and purpose | The response demonstrates a partial command of conventions: • frequent errors in usage may obscure meaning • inconsistent use of punctuation, capitalization, and spelling |



| | Statement of Purpose/ | Focus and Organization | Development: Language a | nd Elaboration of Evidence | |
|-------|--|---|--|--|---|
| Score | Statement of Purpose/Focus | Organization | Elaboration of Evidence | Language and Vocabulary | Conventions |
| 1 | The response may be related to the purpose but may offer little or no focus: may be very brief may have a major drift opinion may be confusing or ambiguous | The response has little or no discernible organizational structure: • few or no transitional strategies are evident • frequent extraneous ideas may intrude | The response provides minimal support/evidence for the writer's opinion that includes little or no use of sources, facts, and details: • use of evidence from sources is minimal, absent, in error, or irrelevant | The response expression of ideas is vague, lacks clarity, or is confusing: uses limited language or domain-specific vocabulary may have little sense of audience and purpose | The response demonstrates a lack of command of conventions: • errors are frequent and severe and meaning is often obscured |



| | Sample Generic 2-point Research (Grades 3–5) Interpret & Integrate Information Rubric (Claim 4, Target 2) | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| 2 | The response gives sufficient evidence of the ability to locate, select, interpret and integrate information within and among sources of information. | | | |
| 1 | The response gives limited evidence of the ability to locate, select, interpret and integrate information within and among sources of information. | | | |
| 0 | A response gets no credit if it provides no evidence of the ability to locate, select, interpret and integrate information within and among sources of information. | | | |



| | Sample Generic 2-point Research (Grades 6–11) Analyze/Integrate Information Rubric (Claim 4, Target 2) | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | The response gives sufficient evidence of the ability to gather, analyze and integrate information within and among multiple sources of information. | | | |
| 1 | The response gives limited evidence of the ability to gather, analyze and integrate information within and among multiple sources of information. | | | |
| 0 | A response gets no credit if it provides no evidence of the ability to gather, analyze and integrate information within and among multiple sources of information. | | | |



| | neric 2-point Research (Grades 4–5) Formation/Sources Rubric (Claim 4, Target 3) |
|---|--|
| 2 | The response gives sufficient evidence of the ability to distinguish relevant from irrelevant information such as fact from opinion. |
| 1 | The response gives limited evidence of the ability to distinguish relevant from irrelevant information such as fact from opinion. |
| 0 | A response gets no credit if it provides no evidence of the ability to distinguish relevant from irrelevant information such as fact from opinion. |



| | Sample Generic 2-point Research (Grades 6–11) Evaluate Information/Sources Rubric (Claim 4, Target 3) | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| 2 | The response gives sufficient evidence of the ability to evaluate the credibility, completeness, relevancy, and/or accuracy of the information and sources. | | | |
| 1 | The response gives limited evidence of the ability to evaluate the credibility, completeness, relevancy, and/or accuracy of the information and sources. | | | |
| 0 | A response gets no credit if it provides no evidence of the ability to evaluate the credibility, completeness, relevancy, and/or accuracy of the information and sources. | | | |



| Sample Generic 2-point Research (Grades 3–5) Use Evidence Rubric (Claim 4, Target 4) | | |
|--|---|--|
| 2 | The response gives sufficient evidence of the ability to cite evidence to support opinions and ideas. | |
| 1 | The response gives limited evidence of the ability to cite evidence to support opinions and ideas. | |
| 0 | A response gets no credit if it provides no evidence of the ability to cite evidence to support opinions and ideas. | |



| Sample Generic 2-point Research (Grades 6–11) Use Evidence Rubric (Claim 4, Target 4) | | |
|---|---|--|
| 2 | The response gives sufficient evidence of the ability to cite evidence to support arguments and/or ideas. | |
| 1 | The response gives limited evidence of the ability to cite evidence to support arguments and/or ideas. | |
| 0 | A response gets no credit if it provides no evidence of the ability to cite evidence to support arguments and/or ideas. | |